

# Brain activation patterns in newborns:



Laura Caron-Desrochers, Natacha Paquette, Phetsamone Vannasing, Julie Tremblay, Alejandra Hüsser, Kassandra Roger, Sarah Provost, Pauline Lebret, Florence Ménard, Clémence Noiseux, Sarah Kraimeche, Catherine Taillefer, Isabelle Boucoiran & Anne Gallagher

Department of Psychology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada

Neurodevelopmental Optical Imaging Lab & Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sainte-Justine University Hospital, Montreal, Canada.

Correspondence: laura.caron-desrochers@umontreal.ca

## INTRODUCTION

#### THE FŒTUS

- Perceives and processes sounds from mid-pregnancy, despite in utero sound distortion (attenuation of high frequencies) [1]
- Cardiac deceleration when listening to a familiar story [2]

#### **THE NEWBORN**

- Left-hemispheric dominance brain response to familiar sounds (native language, mother's voice) [3]
- Results are inconsistent regarding cerebral response to native and unfamiliar languages (left vs. right dominance [4], increase vs. decrease [5], no difference [6])
- Infants show a cardiac deceleration and a large brain mismatch response to sounds that were repeatedly exposed in utero [7-9]

**OBJECTIVE** Characterize the influence of prenatal exposure to a new language on the newborn brain response in comparison to its native language and an unfamiliar language.

## PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Results are reported from N = 36 newborns that all underwent the prenatal exposure (N=2 excluded due to poor quality of data)



## METHODOLOGY

## N = 59 healthy newborns from French unilingual families

Mean age at NIRS recording: 30  $\pm$  13 h

\*No significant differences for sociodemographic, clinical factors and prenatal exposure

		Prenatal exposure to	
	Controls	German	Hebrew
<b>N</b> (♀)	21 (53%)	20 (55%)	18 (50%)
Gestational age (weeks:days)	40:0 ± 1:0	39:5 ± 0:5	39:5 ± 1:0
Weight (kg)	3.5 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 0.6	$3.4 \pm 0.4$
APGAR (10m)	9 ± 0.2	9 ± 0.5	9 ± 0.2
Maternal education (% university degree)	75%	89%	93%
Number of prenatal exposure sessions	-	47 ± 18	53 ± 17

#### PRENATAL EXPERIMENTAL CONDITION

Linguistic **prenatal exposure** to a children's story in the **native** (*French*) and one **foreign** language (*German* or *Hebrew*)

- 2 x everyday from 35<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy until birth
- Through headphones places on the abdomen
- Those foreign languages were chosen as their rhythmic and linguistic classes differ from the ones of the native language.

#### **NEAR-INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY (NIRS)**

Indirect measurement of neural activity through neurovascular coupling  $\rightarrow$  Concentration changes of oxygenated (HbO) and deoxygenated (HbR) hemoglobin ( $\Delta$ Conc). [10-11]

#### DATA ACQUISITION AT BIRTH

#### NIRScout System (NIRx)



32 sources (760nm and 850

#### TASK PARADIGM

#### 3 CONDITIONS x 18 BLOCKS

**Passive listening** of the same children's story

Native language

**1.** Marginal differences of mean activation to the stories <u>between conditions</u> both at the first peak and in the sustained response :

- Medial region of the left frontal lobe F(2,70)=2,85, p=.064
  - $\rightarrow$  Familiar > Native (p=.028)
- Medial and inferior regions of the right frontal lobe F(2,70)=2.48, p=.091 F(2,70)=2,81, p=.066
   → Familiar > Native (p<.036)</li>
- **2.** The <u>amount of prenatal exposure</u> predicts the relative difference between:
  - Familiar and Unfamiliar conditions
  - Left frontal region  $\beta$  = 0.94, p = .041
  - Left superior temporal region  $\beta$  = 0.14, p = .026
  - Right posterior temporal region  $\beta$  = 0.49, p = .025
  - Right anterior temporal region  $\beta$  = -0.11, p = .053

## DISCUSSION

Bilateral temporal activations in all conditions

- Concordant with the newborn literature in fNIRS. [14]
- Would reflect the auditory processing specific to any language stimulus. [6-10, 15]

Stronger activation for the prenatal familiar language in **bilateral frontal regions compared to the native language**, but not the unfamiliar language.

- Cortical frontal regions are more strongly recruited when processing familiar but still novel language (i.e. prenatal experimental) compared to excessively familiar language (i.e. native).
- The newborn's brain appears to recognize linguistic stimuli that were exposed in utero.

A larger **amount of prenatal exposure** to the familiar foreign language predicted a larger activation compared to other conditions (i.e. positive relative difference) in various regions known to be associated with language networks. [14]

 A potential avenue of research is to explore language pre-networks with functional connectivity analysis and how it is modulated with prenatal exposure. [17]

• Left parieto-temporal junction region  $\beta = 0.19$ , p = .031

**Familiar and Native conditions** 

- Right posterior temporal region  $\beta$  = 0.19, p = .014



nm) and 16 detectors Each source-detector pairing represents a channel.

#### DATA ANALYSIS Preprocessing steps using the LIONnirs

toolbox [12] in SPM (Matlab R2019b)



Average ΔConc across blocks (for participant x condition)

Extraction of the mean ∆conc in two 5-sec time windows
7 to 12s after stimulus onset: around the first peak
12 to 17s after stimulus onset : sustained response



## STATISTICAL ANALYSES

(experimental newborns)

 Repeated-measure analysis of variance on HbO ΔConc across conditions and time windows

Planned contrasts: Fam compared to each condition

Native vs. Familiar vs. Unfamiliar

According to the prenatal exposure (either German or Hebrew)

2. Simple regression on the HbO Δconc relative difference of Familiar condition with other conditions



Important inter- and intra-individual variability regarding the response pattern (i.e. increase vs. decrease of  $\Delta$  Conc, across blocks and participants) [16]

 Random effect from participants and additional predictors could be modelled using mixedeffects model.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & FUNDING



Fonds de recherche Nature et technologies Québec 🏘 🏘

We would like to thanks Sophie Perreault, Lise-Angela Ouellet, Guylaine Aubé and Hélène Gagnon for their collaboration on the project, as well as the Mother-Child Postpartum Unity (Department of Pediatrics) of Sainte-Justine University Hospital.

### REFERENCES

[1] Mahmoudzadeh, M. et al. (2013). Syllabic discrimination in premature human infants prior to complete formation of cortical layers. *PNAS*.
 [2] Granica Defense G. et al. (2014). Near term forward forwa

[2] Granier-Deferre, C., et al. (2011). Near-term fetuses process temporal features of speech. *Developmental Science*.

[3] Beauchemin, M. et al. (2011). Mother and stranger: An electrophysiological study of voice processing in newborns. *Cerebral Cortex*.

[4] Vannasing, P. et al. (2016). Distinct hemispheric specializations for native and non-native languages in one-day-old newborns identified by fNIRS. *Neuropsychologia*.
[5] May, L. et al. (2011). Language and the newborn brain: Does prenatal language experience shape the neonate neural response to speech? *Frontiers in Psychology*.
[6] May, L. et al. (2017). The specificity of the neural response to speech at birth. *Developmental Science*.

[7] Granier-Deferre, C. et al. (2011). A melodic contour repeatedly experienced by human near-term fetuses elicits a profound cardiac reaction one month after birth. *PLoS ONE*.
[8] Partanen, E. et al. (2013). Learning-induced neural plasticity of speech processing before birth. *PNAS*.

[9] Partanen, E. et al. (2013). Prenatal music exposure induces long-term neural effects. *PLoS ONE.* 

[10] Minagawa-Kawai, Y. et al. (2011). Optical brain imaging reveals general auditory and language-specific processing in early infant development. *Cerebral Cortex*.
[11] Lloyd-Fox, S. et al. (2010). Illuminating the developing brain: The past, present and future of functional near infrared spectroscopy. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*.
[12] Tremblay, J. et al. (2020) LIONirs toolbox design for fNIRS data analysis. See OHBM2020 poster #2009.

**[13]** Hüsser, A. et al. (2020). Parallel Factor Analysis for multidimensional decomposition of fNIRS data - A validation study. *Preprint available on BioRxiv*.

[14] de Roever, I. et al. (2018). Investigation of the pattern of the hemodynamic response as measured by functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) studies in newborns, less than a month old: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*.
[15] Skeide, M. A. & Friederici, A. D. (2016). The ontogeny of the cortical language network. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.

**[16]** Issard, C. & Gervain, J. (2018). Variability of the hemodynamic response in infants: Influence of experimental design and stimulus complexity. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*.

**[17]** Emerson, R.W., Gao, W, Lin, W (2016). Longitudinal Study of the Emerging Functional Connectivity Asymmetry of Primary Language Regions during Infancy. *Journal of Neuroscience*.